

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ben 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 vovw.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,276	09/27/2001	David J. Broyles	2078-00100	3428
23505 75	590 1 1/05/2003		EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			WEIER, ANTHONY J	
P. O. BOX 326 HOUSTON, T	7 X 77253-3267		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
			DATE MASS CIN. 11/05/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/965,276	BROYLES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony Weier	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status 1) Responsive to communication (c) filed on					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	— · s action is non-final.				
,		ttere presention as to the morit	o io		
 Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under bull Disposition of Claims 			5 15		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers		_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (1) (1)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	een received.			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ •		

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 20-25, 34-42, and 62-73 are drawn to a method of operating a pecan processing plant, classified in class 426, subclass 481.
- II. Claims 7-19, 26-33, and 43-61, drawn to a pecan processing machine, classified in class 99, subclass 569.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process could be practiced by hand and use of hand-tools.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If the apparatus claims (Group II) are elected, this group of claims further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A. Width sizing using coplanar screens (claims 27 and 50).
 - B. Width sizing using stacked screens (claims 28 and 51).
 - C. Width sizing using a pin sorter (claims 29 and 52).
 - D. Width sizing using a system of cylinders (claims 30, 31, 53, 54, and 57-61)

Application/Control Number: 09/965,276

Art Unit: 1761

E. Width sizing using a vibrating screen with elongated holes (claims 32 and 55)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, with respect Group II, claims 7-19, 26, 33, 43-49, and 56 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 703-308-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Anthony Weier October 30, 2003 Anthony Weier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761